PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

REC'D	0 9	DEC	2005
WIPO			DOT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference P11066PC	FOR FURTHER ACTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416	
International application No. PCT/DK2004/000679	International filing date (day/month/year) 08.10.2004	Priority date (day/month/year) 22.10.2003	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national A61K31/4184, C07D235/14, C07D407	onal classification and IPC 7/06, C07D409/06, A61P31/04		
Applicant ARPIDA A/S			
This report is the international prelin Authority under Article 35 and transi	ninary examination report, established b mitted to the applicant according to Artic	y this International Preliminary Examining cle 36.	
	7 sheets, including this cover sheet.		
3. This report is also accompanied by		•	
a. sent to the applicant and th	he International Bureau) a total of shee	ets, as follows:	
□ sheets of the description	, claims and/or drawings which have been rectifications authorized by this Authorite	en amended and are the basis of this report by (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the	
sheets which supersede beyond the disclosure in Supplemental Box.	earlier sheets, but which this Authority of the international application as filed, as	considers contain an amendment that goes indicated in item 4 of Box No. I and the	
and the state of t	eau only) a total of (indicate type and nust related thereto, in computer readable footing (see Section 802 of the Administration)	mber of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a orm only, as indicated in the Supplemental tive Instructions).	
4. This report contains indications relati	ng to the following items:		
Box No. I Basis of the opinion	n		
☐ Box No. II Priority		•	
☑ Box No. III Non-establishment	of opinion with regard to novelty, invent	tive step and industrial applicability	
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of inve	ention	are area area applicability	
applicability, citation	nt under Article 35(2) with regard to nov ns and explanations supporting such sta	eity, inventive step or industrial	
☐ Box No. VI Certain documents		•	
	ne international application		
Box No. VIII Certain observation	s on the international application	•	
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion o	of this report	
19.08.2005	07.12.2005		
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	Authorized Officer	Para.	
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 ep Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Bérillon, L Telephone No. +49 8	9 2399-7078	

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000679

_	Box No. I Basis of the re	eport
1. With regard to the language, this report is based on the international application in the language in w filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.		
	international search publication of the in	translations from the original language into the following language, of a translation furnished for the purposes of: (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) ternational application (under Rule 12.4) nary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)
2.	With regard to the element have been furnished to the	s* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this and are not annexed to this report):
	Description, Pages	
	1-60	as originally filed
	Claims, Numbers	
	1-37	as originally filed
	☐ a sequence listing and/	or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing
3.	☐ the description, page ☐ the claims, Nos. ☐ the drawings, sheets ☐ the sequence listing	s/figs
4.	Supplemental Box (Rule 70. the description, page the claims, Nos. the drawings, sheets the sequence listing	es Afigs
	* If item 4 applies,	some or all of these sheets may be marked "supergoded "

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000679

		x No. III Non-establishment olicability	of op	pinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial
1.	The obv	he questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non- bvious), or to be industrially applicable have not been examined in respect of:		
!		the entire international application,		
(\boxtimes	claims Nos. 32, 33		
		because:		
1	Ø	the said international application, or the said claims Nos. 32, 33 relate to the following subject matter which does not require an international preliminary examination (specify):		
		see separate sheet		
Ī	コ	the description, claims or drawings <i>(indicate particular elements below)</i> or said claims Nos. are so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed <i>(specify)</i> :		
נ		the claims, or said claims Nos. are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.		
		no international search report has been established for the said claims Nos.		
. [the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence listing does not comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions in that:		
		the written form		has not been furnished
				does not comply with the standard
		the computer readable form		has not been furnished
				does not comply with the standard
]	the tables related to the nucleon not comply with the technical re	tide a equire	and/or amino acid sequence listing, if in computer readable form only, do ements provided for in Annex C-bis of the Administrative Instructions.
]	See separate sheet for further o	detail	S

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/DK2004/000679

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

1-37

No: Claims

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

Claims

1-37

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

No:

1-31, 34-37

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Re Item III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

Claims 32 and 33 relate to subject-matter considered by this Authority to be covered by the provisions of Rule 67.1(iv) PCT. Consequently, no opinion will be formulated with respect to the industrial applicability of the subject-matter of these claims (Article 34(4)(a)(l) PCT).

Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1 Prior art

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: WO 02/41886 D2: WO 00/61134 D3: WO 96/33176

2 Novelty (Article 33(2) PCT)

The present compounds differ from the compounds disclosed in D1-D3 at least in view of the definition of their substituent -COR₃. The subject-matter of the present application is novel.

3 Inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT)

In view of closest prior art D1 the technical problem underlying the present application is regarded as the provision of further compounds useful as polypeptide deformylase (PDF) inhibitors for treating bacterial and parasitic infections. In communication dated 19.08.2005, page 2 second paragraph the Applicant states that the ability to inhibit bacterial polypeptide deformylase is only mentioned in D1 as a possible mechanism

and that no PDF inhibition are shown for the compounds of D1. In D1, page 3, second paragraph the mechanism is only postulated indeed. However, although a discovery of a mechanism may be an important piece of scientific knowledge, it cannot be considered as a technical contribution to the art. It is only the therapeutic effect of a molecule i.e. in the present case treating specific bacterial and parasitic infections, which is relevant for the assessment of inventive step within the meaning of Article 33(3) PCT.

In view of the structure of the compounds disclosed in D1, the present compounds appear to be obvious solutions to the posed technical problem. In communication dated 19.08.2005 the Applicant listed various points of differentiation between the present compounds and the examples disclosed in D1.

- The X group of the present compounds is first cited as point of differentiation. Although all the examples of D1 have a -N(OH)CHO group, the definition of Z in D1, claim 1 reads "N(OH)CHO or -CONHOH". Hence, the definition of X in the present compounds overlaps with the definition of Z in D1 and therefore cannot be the basis for inventive step.
- The same applies for the points of differentiation marked by the Applicant as * , * and *** . In D1, claim 1, R_2 can be H which results equally in a methylene group in said position * and the positions marked ** and *** (positions 4 and 7 of the benzimidazole ring) can in the D1 compounds as in the present compounds be unsubstituted.
- The definition of R_1 in the present compounds overlap with the definition of R_3 in D1, claim 1.

The substituent in position 5 of the benzimidazole ring appears therefore to be the only distinguishing structural feature. In the present compounds, said substituent is restricted to -COR $_3$ with R $_3$ being -NHCH(R $_4$)COR $_5$, -NR $_8$ R $_7$, -NHR $_7$ or -OR $_7$. In D1, said substituent can be extremely varied (see definition of R $_5$ and R $_6$ in D1, claim 1) and equally includes amides or ester groups CONHR A , CONR A R B , CO $_2$ R A . Even if no exemplified compounds of D1 have said amide or ester groups, D1 taken as a whole clearly teaches that said ester or amide groups can be present in position 5 of the benzimidazole without jeopardizing the inhibitory activity of the resulting products. Hence, present compounds of formula (I) (at least those wherein R3 is -NR $_6$ R $_7$, -NHR $_7$ or -OR $_7$) are obvious solutions to the problem of providing further compounds useful as PDF inhibitors. For these compounds the technical problem has to be seen as the provision of PDF inhibitors having an improved or unexpected effect over D1 which is not apparent yet.

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/DK2004/000679

4 Industrial applicability (Article 33(4) PCT)

For the assessment of the present claims 32 and 33 on the question whether they are industrially applicable, no unified criteria exist in the PCT Contracting States. The patentability can also be dependent upon the formulation of the claims. The EPO, for example, does not recognize as industrially applicable the subject-matter of claims to the use of a compound in medical treatment, but may allow, however, claims to a known compound for first use in medical treatment and the use of such a compound for the manufacture of a medicament for a new medical treatment.